



## Prepare Smart for Success Free Fortinet FCSS\_LED\_AR-7.6 Exam Questions and Answers

Ready to pass faster? Grab free and updated Fortinet NSE 6 - LAN Edge 7.6 Architect exam PDF questions now. Get authentic FCSS\_LED\_AR-7.6 dumps packed with verified answers and secure your certification success with PrepBolt FCSS\_LED\_AR-7.6 exam pdf questions and answers.

Thank you for Downloading FCSS\_LED\_AR-7.6 exam PDF Demo

[https://prepbolt.com/FCSS\\_LED\\_AR-7.6.html](https://prepbolt.com/FCSS_LED_AR-7.6.html)

QUESTIONS & ANSWERS  
**DEMO VERSION**  
*(LIMITED CONTENT)*

# Question 1

---

Question Type: MultipleChoice

---

A conference center wireless network provides guest access through a captive portal, allowing unregistered users to self-register and connect to the network. The IT team has been tasked with updating the existing configuration to enforce captive portal authentication over a secure HTTPS connection. Which two steps should the administrator take to implement this change? (Choose two.)

## Options:

---

- A- Enable HTTP redirect in the user authentication settings.
- B- Create a new SSID with the HTTPS captive portal URL.
- C- Disable HTTP administrative access on the guest SSID to enforce HTTPS connection.
- D- Update the captive portal URL to use HTTPS on FortiGate and FortiAuthenticator.

## Answer:

---

A, D

## Explanation:

---

Goal: enforce captive portal authentication over HTTPS for guests.

On FortiGate/FortiAuthenticator captive portal setups:

HTTP redirect is used so that when a guest browses to any HTTP site, their request is redirected to the portal URL.

The portal URL itself must be HTTPS if you want a secure login page.

FortiOS captive portal and firewall authentication guidelines recommend:

Enabling HTTP redirect so unauthenticated HTTP traffic is transparently sent to the portal.

Configuring the portal URL with HTTPS, often referencing a certificate on FortiGate or FortiAuthenticator.

Therefore:

A . Enable HTTP redirect in the user authentication settings. This ensures unauthenticated HTTP requests are redirected to the (now HTTPS) portal.

D . Update the captive portal URL to use HTTPS on FortiGate and FortiAuthenticator. This makes the login itself secure (TLS-protected).

Incorrect:

B-- You don't need a new SSID; the same SSID can use HTTPS portal.

C-- Disabling HTTP admin access on the SSID doesn't control the captive portal scheme; HTTPS enforcement is done by the portal configuration and redirect, not by admin-access flags.

## Question 2

Question Type: MultipleChoice

Refer to the exhibit.

The screenshot shows the FortiGate WebUI interface for configuring a RADIUS server. The left sidebar is titled 'FortiGate Radius Server' and includes a menu with options like Dashboard, Network, Policy & Objects, Security Profiles, VPN, User & Authentication, LDAP Servers, RADIUS Servers (highlighted), Single Sign-On, Authentication Settings, FortiTokens, and WiFi & Switch Controller. The main content area is titled 'Edit RADIUS Server' and contains the following configuration fields:

- Name: RAD-Win
- Authentication method: Default (selected), Specify
- NAS IP: (empty field)
- Include in every user group:
- Primary Server section:
  - IP/Name: 192.168.0.100
  - Secret: (masked with dots)
  - Connection status:  Successful
  - Buttons: Test Connectivity, Test User Credentials

### FortiGate CLI RADIUS server test

```
FortiGate #  
FortiGate # diagnose test authserver radius FAC-Lab pap wifil01 password  
authenticate 'wifil01' against 'pap' succeeded, server=primary assigned_rad_session_id=19718280638473 session_timeout=0 secs idle_timeout=0 secs!  
  
FortiGate # diagnose test authserver radius FAC-Lab mschap2 wifil01 password  
authenticate 'wifil01' against 'mschap2' failed, assigned_rad_session_id=19718280638474 session_timeout=0 secs idle_timeout=0 secs!
```

## FortiAuthenticator - Remote LDAP server configuration

**Edit LDAP Server**

Name:

Primary server name/IP:  Port:

Use Zero Trust tunnel [ Please Select ] v

Use secondary server

Base distinguished name:

Bind type:  Simple  Regular

Username:  Password:

Server type:  Microsoft Active Directory  OpenLDAP/GSuite  Novell eDirectory/Others

Add supported domain names (used only if this is not a Windows Active Directory server)

**Query Elements**

User object class:

Username attribute:

Group object class:

Obtain group memberships from:  User attribute  Group attribute

Group membership attribute:

Force use of administrator account for group membership lookups

**Secure Connection**

Enable

**Windows Active Directory Domain Authentication**

Enable

A RADIUS server has been successfully configured on FortiGate, which sends RADIUS authentication requests to FortiAuthenticator. FortiAuthenticator, in turn, relays the authentication using LDAP to a Windows Active Directory server.

It was reported that wireless users are unable to authenticate successfully.

The FortiGate configuration confirms that it can connect to the RADIUS server without issues.

While testing authentication on FortiGate using the command `diagnose test authserver radius`, it was observed that authentication succeeds with PAP but fails with MSCHAPv2.

Additionally, the Remote LDAP Server configuration on FortiAuthenticator was reviewed.

Which configuration change might resolve this issue?

### Options:

- A- Change the RADIUS authentication protocol to CHAP
- B- Enable Windows Active Directory Domain Authentication.
- C- Manually add user credentials to the FortiAuthenticator local database
- D- Use RADIUS attributes under the FortiGate configuration.

### Answer:

B

## Explanation:

---

From the exhibits and text:

FortiGate RADIUS FortiAuthenticator

FortiAuthenticator LDAP Windows AD

diagnose test authserver radius ... papsucceeds

diagnose test authserver radius ... mschap2fails

This behavior matches a classic limitation documented in FortiOS:

When using LDAP as the back-end, the RADIUS server must use PAP. CHAP/MS-CHAPv2 are not supported with plain LDAP because the server cannot validate the challenge--response without access to password hashes.

In the Remote LDAP server config on FortiAuthenticator, the option "Windows Active Directory Domain Authentication" is disabled. When this feature is enabled, FortiAuthenticator can talk to AD using Kerberos/NTLM instead of a simple LDAP bind, which does support MS-CHAPv2 for incoming RADIUS authentications.

So to allow MS-CHAPv2 all the way from FortiGate to AD, you must:

Keep FortiGate using RADIUS with MS-CHAPv2 FortiAuthenticator

Enable Windows Active Directory Domain Authentication so FortiAuthenticator can properly validate MS-CHAPv2 against AD.

Why the other options are wrong:

A . Change to CHAP-- CHAP still cannot be validated over LDAP; docs say LDAP back-ends must use PAP.

C . Manually add users to local DB-- That would allow local-DB auth but does not fix MS-CHAPv2 against AD.

D . Use RADIUS attributes on FortiGate-- Attributes do not influence the EAP inner method; they don't fix MS-CHAPv2 failures.

Therefore the configuration change that can realistically fix the MS-CHAPv2 problem is enabling Windows Active Directory Domain Authentication on FortiAuthenticator (B).

## Question 3

---

Question Type: MultipleChoice

---

Refer to the exhibits.

## FortiSwitch Ports

FortiSwitch Ports - FortiSwitch

FortiSwitch PoE+ SFP

MON 1 3 5 7 9 11 13 15 17 19 21 23 25 27  
TUE 2 4 6 8 10 12 14 16 18 20 22 24 26 28

Connected

[+ Create New](#) [Edit](#) [Delete](#) [Refresh](#)

<input type="checkbox"/>	Port	Description	Mode	Port Policy	Enabled Features	Native VLAN	Allowed VLANs
<input type="checkbox"/>	port1		Static		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Edge Port <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Spanning Tree Protocol	AP Management (APs)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> HR (VLAN102) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IT (VLAN101) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> quarantine.fortilink (quarantine)
<input type="checkbox"/>	port2		Static		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Edge Port <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Spanning Tree Protocol	Students	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> quarantine.fortilink (quarantine)
<input type="checkbox"/>	port3		Static		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Edge Port <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Spanning Tree Protocol	default.fortilink (_default)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> quarantine.fortilink (quarantine)

## NAC policy

The screenshot shows the 'Edit NAC Policies - Training' configuration window. The policy is named 'Training' and is 'Enabled'. The 'Switch FortiLink' is set to 'fortilink'. Under 'Device Patterns', the 'Category' is 'Device', 'MAC Address' is '70:88:6b:8c:4b:0e', and 'Operating System' is 'Linux'. Under 'Switch Controller Action', 'Assign VLAN' is set to 'Students' and 'Bounce Port' is checked. Under 'Wireless Controller Action', 'Assign VLAN' is unchecked. Buttons for 'Preview', 'OK', and 'Cancel' are at the bottom.

A NAC policy has been configured to apply traffic that flows through FortiSwitch port 2. Traffic that meets the NAC policy criteria will be assigned to the Students VLAN. However, the NAC policy does not seem to be taking effect.

Which configuration is missing?

### Options:

- A- Port2 Access mode should be set to NAC mode.
- B- The MAC address or OS might be misconfigured for the connected device.
- C- Port2 Access mode should be set to Port Policy mode.
- D- The Students VLAN should be set to Allowed VLANs instead of Native VLAN.

## Answer:

---

A

## Explanation:

---

From the exhibits:

FortiSwitch Ports viewshows:

port2

Mode: Static

Native VLAN: Students

Allowed VLANs: quarantine.fortilink (quarantine)

NAC policy "Training":

Switch FortiLink: fortilink

Category:Device

Matching criteria:

MAC Address: 70:88:6b:8c:4b:0e (enabled)

Operating System:Linux(enabled)

Switch Controller Action:

Assign VLAN = Students

Bounce Port = enabled

Design intent:

Device with that MAC + OS Linux, when plugged into port2, should be dynamically moved to VLAN Students by the NAC policy.

Why it doesn't work now

On FortiLink NAC, dynamic NAC decisions only apply on ports whose "Access Mode" is set to NAC:

NAC mode = FortiGate controls the onboarding VLAN, evaluates NAC policies, and then dynamically reassigns the switch port VLAN (access, quarantine, etc.).

Static mode (what we see on port2) means the port just uses its configured native/allowed VLANs, and no NAC classification happens.

Right now:

port2 is a static access port with Native VLAN = Students.

The NAC policy exists, but FortiSwitch is not in NAC enforcement mode on that port, so the policy is never evaluated for traffic on port2.

Therefore, the missing configuration is:

Set port2 to NAC mode (sometimes called "Access mode: NAC" or "NAC LAN edge port").

Once port2 is changed to NAC mode:

Device initially lands in the onboarding/quarantine VLAN.

FortiGate collects device info (MAC, OS, etc.).

NAC policy "Training" matches MAC + Linux.

Switch controller action Assign VLAN = Students is applied.

Port is bounced (if configured), bringing the device back up in VLAN Students.

Why the other options are wrong

B . MAC or OS misconfigured

Possible in general, but the question asks for which configuration is missing, and the exhibits clearly focus on port mode. Also, even with wrong MAC/OS, the port would still be in NAC mode; here NAC isn't even active.

C . Port Policy mode

Port policy (edge/trunk) is separate from NAC; NAC requires the specific NAC access mode.

D . Students VLAN should be Allowed VLANs instead of Native VLAN

For an access port, having Students as the native VLAN is correct. NAC policy's Assign VLAN will set that as access VLAN; no need to make it an allowed trunk VLAN.

## Question 4

---

Question Type: MultipleChoice

---

How can FortiAI Ops help optimize network performance in an SD-Branch deployment with FortiGate, FortiSwitch, and FortiAP?

### Options:

---

A- It disables low-performing APs and switches automatically.

B- It uses AI-driven analytics to identify network issues and provide optimization recommendations.

- C- It removes the need for SD-WAN configuration by automating all routing decisions.
- D- It predicts and resolves all network issues without any human intervention.

### Answer:

---

B

### Explanation:

---

In an SD-Branch deployment (FortiGate + FortiSwitch + FortiAP), FortiAI Ops:

Collects telemetry and logs from Fabric devices

Uses machine-learning / AI analytics to:

Spot anomalies (latency, packet loss, RF issues, misconfigurations)

Highlight root causes

Propose optimization recommendations (e.g., channel changes, power tuning, config fixes)

It does not:

Automatically disable devices (A false)

Replace SD-WAN config or all routing (C false)

Fix all issues with zero human input (Dis marketing fantasy, not reality)

## Question 5

---

Question Type: MultipleChoice

---

APs have been manually configured to connect to FortiGate over an IPsec network, and FortiGate successfully detects and authorizes them. However, the APs remain unmanaged because FortiGate is unable to establish a CAPWAP tunnel with them.

What configuration change can resolve this issue and enable FortiGate to establish the CAPWAP tunnel over the IPsec connection?

### Options:

---

- A- Configure a static route on FortiGate to reach the APs over the IPsec tunnel.
- B- Assign a custom AP profile for the remote APs with the set mpls-connection option enabled.
- C- Decrease the CAPWAP tunnel MTU size for APs to prevent fragmentation.

D- Upgrade the FortiAP firmware image to ensure compatibility with the FortiOS version.

## Answer:

---

B

## Explanation:

---

When FortiAPs connect to FortiGate over IPsec tunnels, this is treated similarly to WAN/MPLS deployments.

In these scenarios, FortiGate must know that CAPWAP must traverse anon-L2transport.

FortiAP profiles include:

```
set mpls-connection enable
```

This setting is required so that:

FortiGate can encapsulate CAPWAP inside the transport tunnel

Remote FortiAPs can establish CAPWAP even when behind routed/IPsec networks

Without this option, the FortiGate detects the AP but cannot bring CAPWAP UP, leaving the AP in "discovered/unauthorized" or "offline" state.

Why others are wrong

A . Static route Discovery already succeeds, so routing is not the issue.

C . Reduce MTU Sometimes useful for IPsec, but not required for CAPWAP establishment.

D . Firmware upgrade Firmware mismatch would show "Managed (upgrade required)," not CAPWAP tunnel failure.

Therefore, set mpls-connection enable is the required fix.

# Thank You for trying FCSS\_LED\_AR-7.6 PDF Demo

To try our FCSS\_LED\_AR-7.6 practice exam  
software visit link below

[https://prepbolt.com/FCSS\\_LED\\_AR-7.6.html](https://prepbolt.com/FCSS_LED_AR-7.6.html)

## Start Your FCSS\_LED\_AR-7.6 Preparation

Use Coupon "SAVE50" for extra 50% discount on the purchase of  
Practice Test Software. Test your FCSS\_LED\_AR-7.6 preparation with  
actual exam questions.